



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/520,792

01/10/2005

Aldo Di Nicolantonio

3165

3246

7590
Striker Striker & Stenby
103 East Neck Road
Huntington, NY 11743

04/06/2009

EXAMINER

LEE, LAURA MICHELLE

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3724

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

04/06/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/520,792	Applicant(s) DI NICOLANTONIO, ALDO	
	Examiner LAURA M. LEE	Art Unit 3724	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) LAURA M. LEE. (3) ____.

(2) I. Zborovsky Reg # (28563). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 3/31/2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Durr (U.S. Patent 5,644,846) and Smith (U.S. Patent 6,701,816).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant requested an interview to discuss the office action mailed on 10/16/2008 as well as the proposed amendments to the claims filed on 2/04/2009. The proposed amendments appear to overcome the previous rejection, as Durr does not appear to disclose a spring, however, no agreements with respect to the allowability of the claims were reached at this time. Further consideration if not additional search is required.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Laura M Lee/ Examiner, Art Unit 3724	
--	--